



Grade Level/	Subject:
Teacher(s): _	
Evaluator:	
Criteria	Considerations (Check all that apply)
Alignment and Stretch	 □ Items/tasks cover key subject/grade-level content standards. □ Where applicable, items/tasks cover knowledge and skills that will be of value beyond the year – either in the next level of the subject, in other academic disciplines, or in career/life. □ Where applicable, there are low- and high-end stretch items that cover pre-requisite objectives from prior years and objectives from the next year/course. □ Scoring system is weighted appropriately for question complexity. Evidence/Feedback:
Rigor and Complexity	 □ Overall, the items, tasks, rubrics are appropriately challenging for the grade-level/course (e.g. appropriate depth of knowledge and correct reading level). □ Many items/tasks require strategic and extended thinking. □ Multiple-choice questions are appropriately rigorous or complex (e.g. multistep, four or more choices). □ Key content standards are assessed at greater depths of understanding and/or complexity. Evidence/Feedback:
Format Captures True Mastery	 ☐ Items/tasks are written clearly. ☐ The assessment/tasks are free from bias; no wording or knowledge that is accessible to only specific ethnicities, subcultures, or genders. ☐ Some standards are assessed across multiple items/tasks. ☐ Item types and length of the assessment are appropriate for the subject/grade level. ☐ Tasks and open-ended questions have rubrics that (1) articulate what students are expected to know and do and (2) differentiate between levels of knowledge/mastery. Evidence/Feedback
	rove of this assessment/task and any accompanying rubrics without further change. se make changes suggested in feedback above and resubmit the assessment/tasks and rubrics.
Signature of evaluator: Date:	
Signature of teacher(s): Date:	